









Design Review Committee/ School Building Committee Meeting

# COUNTRYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Newton, MA



DECEMBER 13, 2023

© 2023 DiNisco Design, Inc.

# Building Systems | HVAC Systems for LCCA

	Central Plant	Air Distribution Side			
VRF	Air source VRF outdoor condensing units	ERV with air source VRF fan coil units			
ASHP+VRV	Air source heat pump with electric boiler backup	VAV with reheat			
ASHP=XCH	Air source heat pump with electric boiler backup	ERV with chilled beams			
ASHB\$AEB	Air source heat pump with electric boiler backup	ERV with fan coil units			
<b>ASHP≠₹⊊</b> ¥	Ground source heat pump	VAV with reheat			
<b>GSHP+ACB</b>	Ground source heat pump	ERV with chilled beams			
<b>GSHP+FCU</b>	Ground source heat pump	ERV with fan coil units			
<b>GSHP+VRF</b>	Ground source heat pump	ERV with water source VRF fan coil units			

Thornton Tomasetti

**Thornton Tomasetti** 







# Building Systems | LCCA Energy Use Intensity



DINISCO DESIGN

#### Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/ft<sup>2</sup>/yr)





# Building Systems | LCCA Summary

System Type	EUI (kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup> /yr)	First Cost Before Incentives (\$)	- MassSave Construction Incentives <sup>1</sup> (\$)	- MassSave Occupancy Incentives <sup>2</sup> (\$)	- IRA Tax Credits <sup>3</sup> (\$)	+ Annual Energy Cost (\$)	+ Replacement Cost <i>Net Present</i> <i>Cost</i> (\$)	= 50 yr Life Cycle Cost <i>Net Present Cost</i> (\$)	= Relative LCC compared to VRF <i>Net Present Cost</i> (\$)
VRF*	27.4	\$6,463,230	\$320,281	\$0	\$0	\$112,346	\$19,253,733	\$33,677,041	\$0
ASHP+VAV*	29.2	\$8,242,960	\$207,369	\$0	\$0	\$119,712	\$19,027,531	\$35,886,386	\$2,209, <mark>346</mark>
ASHP+ACB*	25.8	\$9,179,660	\$244,281	\$0	\$0	\$105,346	\$21,189,751	\$37,889,560	\$4,212, <mark>520</mark>
ASHP+FCU*	26.9	\$8,992,320	\$244,281	\$0	\$0	\$109,960	\$20,757,307	\$37,609,847	\$3,932, <mark>806</mark>
<b>GSHP+VAV</b> *	27.8	\$11,666,599	<mark>\$</mark> 947,281	\$0	\$3,499,980	\$115,959	\$17,792,069	\$33,558,080	-\$118,9 <mark>6</mark> 1
<b>GSHP+ACB</b> *	24.8	\$12,339,149	<b>\$1</b> ,002,650	\$110,738	\$3,701,745	\$101,431	\$19,101,722	\$34,101,618	\$424,5 <mark>7</mark> 8
<b>GSHP+FCU*</b>	25.7	\$12,153,683	<mark>\$</mark> 947,281	\$0	\$3,646,105	\$105,070	\$18,740,564	\$34,044,949	\$367,9 <mark>0</mark> 9
<b>GSHP+VRF</b> **	24.9	\$9,345,492	<mark>\$1</mark> ,002,650	\$110,738	\$2,803,6 <mark>4</mark> 8	\$101,806	\$13,272,196	<mark>\$26,204,1</mark> 71	-\$7,472,870

Capital costs estimated at Feasibility Study have a design contingency of 15% and escalation of 8.25%

\*\* Capital costs estimated at Schematic Design have a design contingency of 10% and escalation of 7%

- 1. ASHP+ACB, GSHP+ACB, GSHP+FCU and GSHP+VRF: Pathway 1 (\$2/ft<sup>2</sup> + HP adder). VRF, ASHP+FCU and GSHP+VAV: Pathway 2 (\$1.25/ft<sup>2</sup> + HP adder). ASHP+VAV: Pathway 2 (\$0.75/ft<sup>2</sup> + HP adder).
- 2. \$1.25/ft<sup>2</sup> for post occupancy if measured EUI meets target.
- 4. Incentives and EUI are based on an SD conditioned floor area of 73,825 ft<sup>2.</sup>
- 5. Maintenance cost assumed to be equal in all options (cost neutral).



3. The 30% Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) federal tax credit for geothermal is an approximation. Newton will need to work with a tax attorney to confirm and secure the federal tax credits.

#### Thornton Tomasetti 4





# **Design Development** | Academic Wing Window Pattern Options



Schematic Design



Design Development | Option 2 | Preferred Option

#### DINISCO DESIGN

Design Development | Option 1

Design Development | Option 3



# **Design Development** | Street Entrance Plaza: Option 2



DINISCO DESIGN









## **Design Development** | Playground Entrance: Option 2



						F	1
						-	-
							-
						F	-
							_
				-			
					-	T	
T	+	Ŧ	T	÷	7	T	3
1	F	+	E	T	T	7	1
ł	T	T	+	1	T	1	-
Ē	-	T	Ŧ	-	T	-	
I		-1	T			1	
Г	T	+	T	T		T	1
E	T	÷	Ŧ		+	Г	1
-	1	T	+	1	1	1	
Ē	=	T	÷	-	T	+	
T	-	1	Т		1	÷	-
T			1	T		T	4
	1	T		10		1	-1
ī	1	Т	+	_1_	÷	-	Т
Т		1	÷	-	-11	-	1
Ľ	1	1		+	-1-	-	1
÷	1		-1-	T		1	-
È	1	Т	1	т	1	Ť	4
1		Т	÷	А.		ų.	
Ŧ	1	-	÷	1	1	1	
	T.	- 1	1		1	+	1
1		T.	1		1	- 1-	1
T	4	1	4	- 1	-	1	1
г_		Т		1		1	
1	1	- R.	÷	1	÷.	1	
	-11-		1	1	1	1	
T	1	-	1		1	-	
1		T	+	T	1	+	
+	T	1	+		+	1	1
÷	1	1	T	- 1-		Т	-
	-		1		-1-	<u>_</u>	



# **Design Development** | Approach from South: Option 2





9



## **Design Development** | Street Entrance Plaza: Building Materials





Norman Brick | Rose Blend DINISCO DESIGN



Triple Brick | Burgundy Blend



Norman Brick | Burgundy Velour



Ground Face CMU | P-107



11

Metal Panel | Champagne Rose

# **Design Development** | Playground Entrance: Building Materials





Norman Brick | Rose Blend DINISCO DESIGN



Triple Brick | Burgundy Blend



Norman Brick | Burgundy Velour



Ground Face CMU | P-107





## Exterior Materials | Precedents



Norman-Sized Brick | Endicott Rose Blend Smooth



Angier Elementary School | Newton, MA



DINISCO DESIGN



Higgins Middle School | Peabody, MA



## Exterior Materials | Precedents



Triple Brick | Endicott Burgundy Blend Smooth



Norman Brick | Endicott Burgundy Blend Velour



Princeton Public Library | Princeton, NJ





Angier Elementary School | Newton, MA



# Exterior Materials | Pattern Precedents



Architectural Ground Face CMU | Jandris P107



Harvard Grad Student Housing | One Western Avenue | Boston, MA



Atlantis Charter School | Fall River, MA

DINISCO DESIGN



Kinhae Roastery House | Gimhae-Si,South Korea



## Exterior Materials | Precedents



Aluminum Composite Metal Panel (E) | Champagne Rose Single Skin Metal Wall Panel (F) | Champagne Rose



Angier Elementary School | Newton, MA



DINISCO DESIGN

Brightwood-Lincoln Elementary School | Springfield, MA



Hurd-Wyman Elementary School | Woburn, MA















Design Review Committee/ School Building Committee Meeting

# COUNTRYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Newton, MA



DECEMBER 13, 2023

© 2023 DiNisco Design, Inc.